BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)				
CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION)				
FOR APPROVAL OF A CHANGE IN ITS GAS)	PSC	DOCKET	NO.	18-1056
SALES SERVICE RATES ("GSR") TO BE)				
EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 1, 2018)				
(FILED AUGUST 31, 2018))				

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

CONNIE S. MCDOWELL

ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE

DELAWARE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

- 1 Q. Please state your name and the name and address of your employer.
- 2 A. My name is Connie S. McDowell. I am employed by the Delaware
- 3 Public Service Commission ("Commission") and my business address
- 4 is 861 Silver Lake Boulevard, Cannon Building, Suite 100, Dover,
- 5 Delaware 19904.
- 6 Q. What is your position with the Public Service Commission?
- 7 A. I am a Senior Regulatory Policy Administrator with the Commission.
- 8 I was employed with the Commission from July 1984 to December 2006
- 9 and rehired in my current position as of June 2013.
- 10 Q. Please describe your duties and responsibilities at the
- 11 Commission.
- 12 A. My duties include reviewing dockets filed with the Commission to
- 13 determine the policy direction for the Commission Staff to address
- in docketed cases, providing technical direction and training to
- 15 the public utility analysts, preparing and presenting testimony
- with recommendations, participating in the development of work
- 17 plans for docketed cases, and managing the public utility analysts
- assigned to participate in those dockets.
- 19 Q. What is your professional experience and education?
- 20 A. I received a Bachelor of Arts & Science Degree in Mathematics from
- 21 the University of Delaware and Master's Degree in Business
- 22 Administration from Delaware State University. Also, I was an
- 23 adjunct instructor in the area of Accounting and Marketing at
- 24 Delaware Technical & Community College Terry Campus for 5 years.
- During my 28 years of employment at the Commission, I have held
- various positions as a Public Utility Analyst I, II, and III, Chief

- of Technical Services, Hearing Examiner, and Senior Regulatory
- 2 Policy Administrator and have testified in several telecom, cable,
- electric, natural gas, water and wastewater cases.
- 4 Q. For whom are you testifying in this proceeding?
- 5 A. I am testifying on behalf of the Commission Staff ("Staff").
- 6 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 7 A. I am the Case Manager for this filing, "In the Matter of the
- 8 Application of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation ("Chesapeake" or
- 9 the "Company") for Approval of a Change in its Gas Sales Service
- 10 Rates to be Effective November 1, 2018" ("Application"). The
- 11 purpose of my testimony is to review the Application to ensure that
- 12 the proposed rates are just and reasonable and that they comply
- with Chesapeake's tariff. I have examined the Application,
- 14 including: the testimonies and schedules; Chesapeake's responses
- to Staff and the Division of the Public Advocate's ("DPA") data
- 16 requests; prior GSR dockets; prior settlement agreements; and
- 17 Chesapeake's quarterly hedging reports and the Long-Term Supply
- and Demand Strategic Plan ("Supply Plan") for the period 2018/2019
- 19 through 2022/2023. My testimony will include a recommendation to
- 20 the Commission regarding the treatment of this Application.
- 21 Q. Please identify other analysis performed on behalf of Staff as part
- of this proceeding.
- 23 A. Mr. Jerome D. Mierzwa, Vice President of Exeter Associates, Inc.,
- was retained to review forecasted demand requirements, seasonal
- 25 and design day capacity, lost and unaccounted-for gas, overall gas
- 26 procurement and hedging purchasing practices, and the management

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CONNIE S MCDOWELL

PSC DOCKET NO. 18-1056 1 of the Company's gas supply as part of this proceeding. Mr. Mierzwa will also be submitting direct testimony detailing his findings 2 3 and recommendations regarding the treatment of this Application. 4 How is your direct testimony organized? Q. My direct testimony includes a summary of the Company's Application 5 Α. 6 and Staff's recommendations related to the approval of the gas 7 sales rates and firm balancing rates proposed by the Company and a 8 review of the Company's compliance with PSC Order No. 9218 in PSC 9 Docket No. 17-1021, which the Commission issued at the conclusion 10 of last year's GSR proceeding. SUMMARY OF THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION 11 12 Please provide a brief summary of the Company's Application. Q. On August 31, 2018, Chesapeake filed an Application with proposed 13 14 changes in its Gas Sales Service Rates as follows: • to decrease its GSR for customers taking service under rate 15 16 schedules RS-1, ERS-1, RS-2, ERS-2, GS, EGS, MVS, EMVS, and LVS from \$1.023 per Ccf to \$0.833 per Ccf; 17 • to decrease its GSR for customers taking service under rate 18 schedules GLR and GLO from \$0.468 per Ccf to \$0.362 per Ccf; 19 • to decrease its GSR for customers taking service under rate 20 21 schedule HLFS from \$0.782 per Ccf to \$0.630 per Ccf; 22 • to increase its firm balancing rate for transportation customers taking service under rate schedule GS, EGS, and

USA-G from \$0.093 per Ccf to \$0.133 per Ccf;

23

24

1 • to increase its firm balancing rate for transportation customers taking service under MVS, EMVS and USA-M from \$0.111 2 3 per Ccf to \$0.158 per Ccf; • to increase its firm balancing rate for transportation 4 5 customers taking service under LVS from \$0.084 per Ccf to 6 \$0.107 per Ccf; 7 • to decrease its firm balancing rate for transportation customers taking service under HLFS from \$0.014 per Ccf to 8 9 \$0.010 per Ccf; and interruptible balancing 10 decrease its rate 11 transportation customers taking service under rate schedule 12 ITS from \$0.011 per Ccf to \$0.001 per Ccf. As authorized by PSC Order No. 9272 (September 25, 2018), these 13 rates went into effect on a temporary basis, subject to refund 14 and pending further review and a final decision by the Commission 15 for gas service usage, on and after November 1, 2018. 16 17 Q. What impact will this proposed GSR Application have on an average residential heating customer as compared to the last GSR 18 19 Application? As compared to the rates that were in effect November 1, 2017, an 20 21 average RS-2 customer using 700 Ccf per year will experience an annual decrease of approximately 11.79% or \$11.08 per month. 22 23 During the winter heating season, a typical RS-2 customer using 24 110 Ccf per month will experience a decrease of approximately 13.08% or \$20.90 per winter month. 25

- $1\,$ Q. Did you review the schedules and calculations contained in the
- 2 Application for accuracy and conformance with the Company's
- 3 existing tariff?
- 4 A. Yes, pursuant to 26 Del. C. § 303(b), the Company has complied with
- 5 and met the filing requirements needed to allow it to implement
- 6 the proposed rates. I have also reviewed and verified the
- 7 mathematical accuracy of the schedules and calculations provided
- 8 in the Application and determined that they conform to the
- 9 Company's tariff.
- 10 Q. Does Staff have any recommendations related to the GSR and firm
- 11 balancing rates requested by the Company as part of its September
- 12 1, 2018 Application?
- 13 A. Yes. Staff has reviewed the Company's original Application,
- 14 including the supporting schedules, and has considered the
- 15 recommendations by Jerome D. Mierzwa, Staff, and the DPA's
- 16 Consultant, concerning the assignment of expansion capacity costs
- 17 to transportation customers as explained in Mr. Mierzwa's direct
- 18 testimony. Therefore, I am recommending that the Commission approve
- 19 the proposed GSR rates, and increase the rates charged to
- 20 transportation customers for released Eastern Shore Natural Gas
- 21 capacity and balancing service charges by 4.6%.
- Review of Compliance with PSC Docket No. 16-0908 and 17-1021
- 23 Q. Please summarize the provisions of PSC Order No. 9058 (May 9,
- 24 2017), which the Commission issued at the conclusion of the GSR
- 25 proceeding for 2016/2017 time period and whether Chesapeake
- 26 continues to be in compliance with those provisions.

- 1 A. PSC Order No. 9058 approved Chesapeake's 2016/2017 GSR and
- 2 Firm Balancing Rates as final. The Commission also ordered
- that the Company continue to comply with Paragraphs 8, 9, 14,
- 4 and 15 of the settlement agreement approved in PSC Docket No.
- 5 15-1362 and approved by PSC Order No. 8924 (August 9, 2016).
- 6 Paragraphs 8, 9, 14, and 15 are as follows:

Paragraph 8. The Company should continue to monitor the level of its over/under collection balance to determine whether a change in the methodology used to calculate its GSR rate is necessary. The Company should hold quarterly discussions with the Staff and DPA, at their request, for the purpose of review the Company's over/under collection balances, hedging program, and other areas of interest to the Settling Parties, such as what measure could be implemented in the Company's annual GSR filing to reduce the volatility of GSR rates caused by the amortization of gas cost over-and-under collections.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Paragraph 9. The Company should continue to utilize its annual Supply Plan as a mechanism by which to notify the Settling Parties of the need for all new capacity additions. When the Company needs to acquire capacity that was not previously identified in its most recent Supply Plan, the Company should provide the information agreed to in the Settlement Agreements to PSC Docket Nos. 08-296F and 09-398F regarding Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company ("ESNG") capacity acquisitions and to continue to provide this information for potential upstream capacity additions as well. The Company should provide this information for both ESNG and upstream capacity on a confidential basis only. The Company should continue to review its design day forecasting methodology each year at the time the Supply Plan is developed to ensure its validity. The Company should also review and comment on any alternative design day forecasting methodology proposals submitted by either Staff or the DPA during the course of any review of the Company's Supply Plan.

42 43

Paragraph 14. Chesapeake should continue to provide Staff and DPA with periodic updates regarding any intervention by the Company in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") proceedings and actions taken by the Company on behalf of the Company's ratepayers, including, but not limited to, an enumeration of each issue and the position that the Company is actively pursuing. The Company should provide such periodic updates to Staff and DPA subject to the Company's ability to provide this information on a confidential basis when appropriate.

12 13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

> Paragraph 15. As agreed in prior dockets, the Company should continue with the following practices: (a) the Company will notify Staff and the DPA of any supplier refunds that may impact the GSR charges; (b) the Company should continue to include in future GSR applications an update on steps taken to mitigate the effects of changes in gas costs; (c) the Company should provide information on the total sales volumes, costs, and margins by month for Interruptible Transportation sales as part of its applications; and (f) the Company will calculate the impact on its proposed GSR rates had a thirtyyear average degree days been used and provide such information as part of the discovery process, when and if requested.

30

31

32

33

To date, Staff is not aware of any failures by the Company to comply with the above provisions of various notifications and practices to Staff and the DPA. Therefore, Staff believes that the Company has continued to comply with the provisions listed above.

34

35

36

37

38

Q.

Please summarize the provisions of PSC Order No. 9218 (May 22, 2018), which the Commission issued at the conclusion of last year's GSR proceeding (PSC Docket No. 17-1021), and whether the Company has complied with those provisions.

- 1 A. Chesapeake agreed that in its 2018/2019 GSR filling, it would
 2 propose transportation service balancing charges consistent with
 3 the approach described in Mr. Mierzwa's testimony and further
 4 refined in rebuttal discovery responses. The Company also agreed
 5 that it would consult with Staff/DPA seven calendar days prior to
- 6 making a filing with the Commission when the over- or under-
- 7 collection exceeds three percent.
- 8 To date, Chesapeake has complied with the above recommendations.
- 9 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 10 A. Yes, it does.